

# UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERC United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO	D. F	ILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/811,130		03/26/2004	Prasad Devarajan	CHM-015	2792
38155	7590	09/11/2006		EXAMINER	
HASSE &	& NESBIT	ΓLLC	FOSTER, CHRISTINE E		
8837 CHA	PEL SOUA	RE DRIVE		·	
SUITE C			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
CINCINIATI OH 45240				1641	

DATE MAILED: 09/11/2006

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)					
Office Action Commons	10/811,130	DEVARAJAN ET AL.					
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit					
	Christine Foster	1641					
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply							
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 1 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS, WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).  Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).							
Status							
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 24 Ju	lv 2006.						
	action is non-final.						
3) Since this application is in condition for allowar	secution as to the merits is						
closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.							
Disposition of Claims							
4) Claim(s) 1-29 is/are pending in the application.							
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.							
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.							
6) Claim(s) is/are rejected.							
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.							
8) Claim(s) <u>1-29</u> are subject to restriction and/or e	election requirement.						
Application Papers							
9)☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.							
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner.							
Applicant may not request that any objection to the	Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).						
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).							
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.							
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119							
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of:							
1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.							
2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No							
3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage							
application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).  * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.							
dec and attached detailed office action for a list of the certified copies not received.							
Attack manufal							
Attachment(s)  1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) Interview Summary	(DTO 412)					
2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	Paper No(s)/Mail Da	ite					
3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08)							
Paper No(s)/Mail Date 6)							

Application/Control Number: 10/811,130

## **DETAILED ACTION**

#### Election/Restrictions

- 1. Restriction to one of the following inventions is required under 35 U.S.C. 121:
  - I. Claims 1-5 and 28-29, drawn to methods for the detection of a renal tubular cell injury, classified in class 435, subclass 7.1.
  - II. Claims 6-11, drawn to a method of monitoring the effectiveness of a treatment, classified in class 436, subclass 538.
  - III. Claims 12-23, drawn to kits, classified in class 422, subclass 61.
  - IV. Claims 24-27, drawn to a method of identifying the extent of a renal tubular cell injury, classified in class 435, subclass 4.

The inventions are distinct, each from the other because of the following reasons:

2. Inventions I and II are directed to related processes. The related inventions are distinct if the (1) the inventions as claimed are either not capable of use together or can have a materially different design, mode of operation, function, or effect; (2) the inventions do not overlap in scope, i.e., are mutually exclusive; and (3) the inventions as claimed are not obvious variants. See MPEP § 806.05(j). In the instant case, the inventions as claimed differ materially with respect to function and effect. The inventions do not overlap in scope because Group I is drawn to a diagnostic method of detecting renal tubular cell injury, while Group II is drawn to a method of monitoring the effectiveness of a treatment for renal tubular cell injury, such that the patient populations are mutually exclusive: in Group I the patient population is not yet diagnosed with renal tubular cell injury while the patient population of Group II has already been diagnosed with

renal tubular cell injury. Furthermore, the inventions as claimed do not encompass overlapping subject matter and there is nothing of record to show them to be obvious variants.

- 3. This relationship is also applicable between Groups (I, II) and IV. Group I is drawn to a diagnostic method of detecting renal tubular cell injury and includes the step of contacting a urine sample with an antibody for a renal tubular cell injury biomarker, which is not a limitation of Group IV. Group II is a method for monitoring the effectiveness of treatment and includes the step of providing a treatment to a subject, which is not a limitation of Group IV. Group IV is drawn to a method of identifying the extent of a renal tubular cell injury caused by an event and includes the step of determining the extent of such injury relative to the time of the event, which is not a limitation of Groups I or II.
- 4. Inventions (I-II, IV) and III are related as product and process of use. The inventions can be shown to be distinct if either or both of the following can be shown: (1) the process for using the product as claimed can be practiced with another materially different product or (2) the product as claimed can be used in a materially different process of using that product. See MPEP § 806.05(h). In the instant case the kits of Group III may be used in any of the distinct processes of Groups I, II, or IV, or in a distinct method, for example to purify NGAL expressed from a heterogeneous source.
- 5. These inventions are distinct for the reasons given above, have acquired a separate status in the art because of their recognized divergent subject matter and as shown by their different classification. Moreover, the searches required for one group are not required for the others. In addition to the classification-based search of the patent literature, text searches of the non-patent

Application/Control Number: 10/811,130 Page 4

Art Unit: 1641

literature would also be non-coextensive due to the different limitations recited in each group.

Therefore, restriction for examination purposes as indicated is proper.

# **Election of Species**

6. This application contains claims directed to the following patentably distinct species:

In the event that Group IV is elected, the following species election must also be made.

- a. **Events** (elect one of the following):
  - i. Surgical procedure(s) (claims 26-27)
  - ii. Diminished blood supply to the kidneys (claim 27)
  - iii. Impaired heart function (claim 27)
  - iv. Patients in intensive care units (claim 27)
  - v. The administration of pharmaceuticals, radiocontrast dyes, or other medicament substances (claim 27)

The species are independent or distinct because the recited pathological conditions differ in etiologies and therapeutic endpoints; thus each condition represents patentably distinct subject matter. Furthermore, the examination of species would require different searches of the scientific literature. As such, it would be burdensome to search these Species together.

Applicant is required under 35 U.S.C. 121 to elect a single disclosed species for prosecution on the merits to which the claims shall be restricted if no generic claim is finally held to be allowable. Currently, claims 24-25 are generic. Claims 26-27 are subject to species election.

Applicant is advised that a reply to this requirement must include an identification of the species that is elected consonant with this requirement, and a listing of all claims readable

thereon, including any claims subsequently added. An argument that a claim is allowable or that all claims are generic is considered nonresponsive unless accompanied by an election.

Upon the allowance of a generic claim, applicant will be entitled to consideration of claims to additional species which depend from or otherwise require all the limitations of an allowable generic claim as provided by 37 CFR 1.141. If claims are added after the election, applicant must indicate which are readable upon the elected species. MPEP § 809.02(a).

7. Applicant is advised that the reply to this requirement to be complete must include (i) an election of a species or invention to be examined even though the requirement be traversed (37 CFR 1.143) and (ii) identification of the claims encompassing the elected invention.

The election of an invention or species may be made with or without traverse. To reserve a right to petition, the election must be made with traverse. If the reply does not distinctly and specifically point out supposed errors in the restriction requirement, the election shall be treated as an election without traverse.

Should applicant traverse on the ground that the inventions or species are not patentably distinct, applicant should submit evidence or identify such evidence now of record showing the inventions or species to be obvious variants or clearly admit on the record that this is the case. In either instance, if the examiner finds one of the inventions unpatentable over the prior art, the evidence or admission may be used in a rejection under 35 U.S.C.103(a) of the other invention.

8. Applicant is reminded that upon the cancellation of claims to a non-elected invention, the inventorship must be amended in compliance with 37 CFR 1.48(b) if one or more of the currently named inventors is no longer an inventor of at least one claim remaining in the

application. Any amendment of inventorship must be accompanied by a request under 37 CFR 1.48(b) and by the fee required under 37 CFR 1.17(i).

### Notice of Possible Rejoinder

The examiner has required restriction between product and process claims. Where applicant elects claims directed to the product, and the product claims are subsequently found allowable, withdrawn process claims that depend from or otherwise require all the limitations of the allowable product claim will be considered for rejoinder. All claims directed a nonelected process invention must require all the limitations of an allowable product claim for that process invention to be rejoined.

In the event of rejoinder, the requirement for restriction between the product claims and the rejoined process claims will be withdrawn, and the rejoined process claims will be fully examined for patentability in accordance with 37 CFR 1.104. Thus, to be allowable, the rejoined claims must meet all criteria for patentability including the requirements of 35 U.S.C. 101, 102, 103 and 112. Until all claims to the elected product are found allowable, an otherwise proper restriction requirement between product claims and process claims may be maintained. Withdrawn process claims that are not commensurate in scope with an allowable product claim will not be rejoined. See MPEP § 821.04(b). Additionally, in order to retain the right to rejoinder in accordance with the above policy, applicant is advised that the process claims should be amended during prosecution to require the limitations of the product claims. Failure to do so may result in a loss of the right to rejoinder. Further, note that the prohibition against double patenting rejections of 35 U.S.C. 121 does not apply where the restriction requirement is withdrawn by the examiner before the patent issues. See MPEP § 804.01.

#### Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Christine Foster whose telephone number is (571) 272-8786. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F 8:30-5. If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Long Le can be reached on (571) 272-0823. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

Christine Foster, Ph.D.

Patent Examiner Art Unit 1641

( froh

SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER

TECHNOLOGY CENTER 1600